


The Baptist Distinctives Series
Number 9



Baptism and the Terms of Communion. An Argument.

Richard Fuller



Quod scriptura, non iubet velat

The Latin translates, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden:”


On the Cover: Baptists rejoice to hold in common with other evangelicals the main principles of the orthodox Christian faith. However, there are points of difference and these differences are significant. In fact, because these differences arise out of God’s revealed will, they are of vital importance. Hence, the barriers of separation between Baptists and others can hardly be considered a trifling matter. To suppose that Baptists are kept apart solely by their views on Baptism or the Lord’s Supper is a regrettable misunderstanding. Baptists hold views which distinguish them from Catholics, Congregationalists, Episcopalians, Lutherans, Methodists, Pentecostals, and Presbyterians, and the differences are so great as not only to justify, but to demand, the separate denominational existence of Baptists. Some people think Baptists ought not teach and emphasize their differences but as E.J. Forrester stated in 1893, “Any denomination that has views which justify its separate existence, is bound to promulgate those views. If those views are of sufficient importance to justify a separate existence, they are important enough to create a duty for their promulgation ... the very same reasons which justify the separate existence of any denomination make it the duty of that denomination to teach the distinctive doctrines upon which its separate existence rests.” If Baptists have a right to a separate denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which their separate life cannot be justified or maintained.

Many among today’s professing Baptists have an agenda to revise the Baptist distinctives and redefine what it means to be a Baptist. Others don’t understand why it even matters. The books being reproduced in the *Baptist Distinctives Series* are republished in order that Baptists from the past may state, explain and defend the primary Baptist distinctives as they understood them. It is hoped that this Series will provide a more thorough historical perspective on what it means to be distinctively Baptist.

The Lord Jesus Christ asked, “*And why call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which I say?*” (Luke 6:46). The immediate context surrounding this question explains what it means to be a true disciple of Christ. Addressing the same issue, Christ’s question is meant to show that a confession of discipleship to the Lord Jesus Christ is inconsistent and untrue if it is not accompanied with a corresponding submission to His authoritative commands. Christ’s question teaches us that a true recognition of His authority as Lord inevitably includes a submission to the authority of His Word. Hence, with this question Christ has made it forever impossible to separate His authority as King from the authority of His Word. These two principles—the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word—are the two most fundamental Baptist distinctives. The first gives rise to the second and out of these two all the other Baptist distinctives emanate. As F.M. Jans wrote in 1894, “Loyalty to Christ as King, manifesting itself in a constant and unswerving obedience to His will as revealed in His written Word, is the real source of all the Baptist distinctives:’ In the search for the *primary* Baptist distinctive many have settled on the Lordship of Christ as the most basic distinctive. Strangely, in doing this, some have attempted to separate Christ’s Lordship from the authority of Scripture, as if you could embrace Christ’s authority without submitting to what He commanded. However, while Christ’s Lordship and Kingly authority can be isolated and considered essentially for discussion’s sake, we see from Christ’s own words in Luke 6:46 that His Lordship is really inseparable from His Word and, with regard to real Christian discipleship, there can be no practical submission to the one without a practical submission to the other.

In the symbol above the Kingly Crown and the Open Bible represent the inseparable truths of Christ’s Kingly and Biblical authority. The Crown and Bible graphics are supplemented by three Bible verses (Ecclesiastes 8:4, Matthew 28:18-20, and Luke 6:46) that reiterate and reinforce the inextricable connection between the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word. The truths symbolized by these components are further emphasized by the Latin quotation - *quod scriptura, non iubet vetat*— *i.e.*, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden:’ This Latin quote has been considered historically as a summary statement of the regulative principle of Scripture. Together these various symbolic components converge to exhibit the two most foundational Baptist Distinctives out of which all the other Baptist Distinctives arise. Consequently, we have chosen this composite symbol as a logo to represent the primary truths set forth in the *Baptist Distinctives Series*.

BAPTISM,
AND THE
TERMS OF COMMUNION:
AN ARGUMENT.



RICHARD FULLER, D.D.
1804-1876

**BAPTISM,
AND THE
TERMS OF COMMUNION:
AN ARGUMENT.**

BY
RICHARD FULLER.

With a Biographical Sketch of the Author by John Franklin Jones

THIRD EDITION

CHARLESTON, S.C.
SOUTHERN BAPTIST PUBLICATION SOCIETY,
229, KING-STREET
1854



The Baptist Standard Bearer, Inc.

NUMBER ONE IRON OAKS DRIVE • PARIS, ARKANSAS 72855

*Thou hast given a standard to them that fear thee;
that it may be displayed because of the truth.
- Psalm 60:4*

Reprinted 2006

by

THE BAPTIST STANDARD BEARER, INC.

No. 1 Iron Oaks Drive
Paris, Arkansas 72855
(479) 963-3831



THE WALDENSIAN EMBLEM

lux lucet in tenebris

“The Light Shineth in the Darkness”

ISBN# 1579784526

P R E F A C E .

IN the following pages I have condensed several sermons preached, and now published, by request. Those who heard the discourses will bear me witness that I breathed not—for I am incapable of—an unkind thought towards my brethren who differ from me. Once, for all, let me say that I am a Baptist on principle, and not in sectarianism nor bigotry. I love all who love Jesus ; but I do not love error, and cannot treat religious error, as if it were unimportant. The Pædobaptist works referred to in this treatise are full of harsh invectives against the Baptists ; I hope that I have not emulated this temper. If, in any case, my language seem strong, it is directed solely against the error.

I need not remark how much indebted I am to those who have written on Baptism before me. I believe, however, that pastors will find this work meeting a want not hitherto met. The subject admits of demonstration. If I have failed in this demonstration, our Churches have

many worthier sons who will "supply my lack of service." Should any one review this argument, I only ask that he will quote me fairly, and show me, as a brother, where the flaw is, and I will confess it.

I dedicate this essay to all, "of every name," who love truth more than party, and Christ more than a Church. The question is of vast importance to every man, especially to us ministers; since we are "set for the fall and rising of many," and cannot be in error without the fearful guilt of involving, or confirming, others, perhaps for generations to come, in error and disobedience.

CONTENTS.

PART FIRST.

THE ACT OF BAPTISM.

	Page.
The Commission—Baptizo is a Greek Word, with an English Termination.—It ought to have been Translated, - - -	9
CHAPTER I.	
The Word used for the Ordinance is Baptizo.—Dr. Porson's Opinion.—It has one Meaning.—Its Classical Use.—Concessions of Learned Pædobaptists, - - - -	12
CHAPTER II.	
The Cases quoted by Pædobaptists to defend Sprinkling and Pouring.—The Plea that Words Change their Meaning; that Baptizo has a Sacred Meaning.— <i>Aggelos</i> and <i>Pneuma</i> .—Classical and Jewish Greek, - - - -	25
CHAPTER III.	
Use of Baptizo in the Septuagint and Apocrypha.—Mr. Lape's Work on Baptism, - - - - -	37
CHAPTER IV.	
Figurative Use of Baptizo in the Greek Classics and the Septuagint.—A Question to Conscience.—Singular Pleas and Arguments.—Dr. Anthon's Letter, - - - -	46

	Page.
CHAPTER V.	
Use of Baptizo in the New Testament, when not applied to the Ordinance, - - - - -	53
CHAPTER VI.	
Use of Baptizo in the New Testament, when applied to the Ordinance.—Five Arguments, - - - - -	62
CHAPTER VII.	
Allusions to Baptism in the New Testament.—Dr. Kurtz and Dr. Judson.—Pædobaptist Concessions, - - - - -	70
CHAPTER VIII.	
Objections which have been attempted against Immersion.—Drs. Kurtz and Miller.—Baptism of the Three Thousand; of the Jailor.—Baptism in the Holy Spirit, - - - - -	77
CHAPTER IX.	
The Greeks have always practised Immersion, and deride the idea of Sprinkling or Pouring, - - - - -	87
CHAPTER X.	
History of Baptism, and the Substitution of Pouring and Sprinkling for Baptism.—Trine Immersion.—Clinics, - - - - -	91
CHAPTER XI.	
Solemn Questions.—The Importance of the Subject, - - - - -	100

PART SECOND.

INFANT BAPTISM.

No Dispute as to Dedication, or Salvation of Infants.—Only Question is as to their Baptism, - - - - -	107
---	-----

CHAPTER I.

The Commission settles this Question forever.—The Pleas by

	Page.
which this Demonstration has been met.—Those who introduced Infant Baptism confessed the necessity of Faith before Baptism.—Greek, Roman Catholic and Episcopal Churches.—Sponsors.—Infants received the Supper.—Luther and Calvin.—Modern Systems, - - - -	109

CHAPTER II.

Argument against Baptizing Infants from the Instances of Baptism in the New Testament.—The Plea from Household Baptism.—Dr. Kurtz's remarks on the Jailor's Household.—Dr. Miller's Assertion as to Households, - - -	129
---	-----

CHAPTER III.

The References to Baptism in the New Testament show that the Subjects were not Infants, - - - -	143
---	-----

CHAPTER IV.

If Baptism of Infants be a Duty, it is, of course, a Parental Duty, yet no such Precept among the Precepts to Parents.—Concessions, . - - - - -	144
---	-----

CHAPTER V.

Drs. Wood's and Stuart's concessions really surrender the whole question.—Passages from which inferences have been attempted in favor of Infant Baptism, - - -	151
--	-----

CHAPTER VI.

Arguments which have been fetched from the Old Testament.—Abrahamic covenant, - - - -	162
---	-----

CHAPTER VII.

Another Argument attempted from the Old Testament, viz : Sameness of the Jewish and Christian Churches.—The Pleas, that Christian Churches contain Unconverted Persons as well as the Jewish Nation.—That the Jewish Church had Greater Privileges than the Christian.—False Idea that Circumcision was itself a Privilege.—Another	
---	--

	Page.
Argument, viz: From Paul's language as to the Olive Tree, - - - - -	175
CHAPTER VIII.	
Argument formerly from pretended Jewish Proselyte Baptism.—This is Exploded, - - - - -	183
CHAPTER IX.	
Church History.—First Century; No Trace of Infant Baptism.—Second Century; No Trace of Infant Baptism.—Dr. Peters's Facts and Logic.—Mr. Slicer's Assertion as to Irenæus.—Proofs.—Concessions of Pædobaptists.—Tertullian.—Third Century; Infant Baptism creeps in with other Corruptions, but is plainly a perplexing innovation.—Fourth Century; Christianity thoroughly corrupt; yet, even at this time, Infant Baptism treated as an innovation.—This true even in the Fifth Century, - - - - -	185
CHAPTER X.	
Easy to see how Infant Baptism would find its lodgment in Parental Feeling, as soon as impossibility of Salvation without Baptism was believed.—Manifold Evils of Infant Baptism.—Conclusion of Part Second, - - - - -	206

PART THIRD.

THE TERMS OF COMMUNION. OR THE RELATION OF BAPTISM TO THE SUPPER.

Baptists every where Spoken Against.—Charges Against Them.—Truth, Peace, Charity.—Charge of being a New Sect—Cardinal Hosius.—Mosheim.—Dr. Upeig.—Only Accusation with semblance of Reason is Close Communion, 215	215
--	-----

	Page.
CHAPTER I.	
Word "Church" has two meanings in the Bible, viz: The Whole Body of the Regenerate, or a Particular Society.— A Third and Carnal Church, - - - - -	219
CHAPTER II.	
Baptism an Individual Act.—The Supper a Social Ordinance belonging to the Visible Churches, and only for Members in those Churches.—Proofs, - - - - -	225
CHAPTER III.	
Baptism a Prerequisite to Admission into a visible Church properly organized.—The Discussions about John's Bap- tism.—Proofs of the Proposition in this Chapter, -	229
CHAPTER IV.	
Membership in some Pædobaptist Churches no Title to the Communion, for other reasons besides a want of Baptism,	236
CHAPTER V.	
Various Arguments and Objections to Close Communion can- didly considered. - - - - -	239
CHAPTER VI.	
" <i>But we desire to hear of thee what thou thinkest; for as concerning this sect, we know that every where it is spoken against.</i> "—The Author begs leave to state frankly what he "thinks."—Christians should love each other, in spite of differences.—"Now we know in part"—"Soon we shall know, even as also we are known." - - - - -	246

“REASONS WHY BAPTISTS OUGHT TO TEACH THEIR DISTINCTIVE VIEWS . . . First, *it is a duty we owe to ourselves*. We must teach these views in order to be consistent in holding them. Because of these we stand apart from other Christians, in separate organizations. . . We have no right thus to stand apart unless the matters of difference have real importance; and if they are really important, we certainly ought to teach them.”

JOHN A. BROADUS

The Duty of Baptists To Teach Their Distinctive Views.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1881).

“No religious denomination has a moral right to a separate existence unless it differs essentially from others. Ecclesiastical differences ought always to spring from profound doctrinal differences. *To divide Christians, except for reasons of gravest import, is criminal schism*. Separate religious denominations are justifiable only for matters of conscience growing out of clear scriptural precept.”

J. L. M. CURRY

A Baptist Church Radically Different From Paedobaptist Churches.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1889).


“There is something distinctive in the principles of Baptists. They differ from all other denominations; and the difference is so great as not only to justify, but to demand, their separate existence as a people . . . What distinctive mission have the Baptists, if this is not their mission? - to present the truth in love on the matters wherein they differ from Pedobaptists. What is there but this that justifies their separate denominational existence and saves them from the reproach of being schismatics? *If they have a right to denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which that life cannot be justified or maintained.*”

J. M. PENDLETON


Distinctive Principles of Baptists.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1882).

The Baptist Standard Bearer, Incorporated is a republication society organized in 1984, and is recognized as a nonprofit, tax-exempt charitable organization. It was founded for the primary purpose of republication and preservation of materials reflecting the Baptist heritage.

ISBN 1-57978-452-6



90000>



9 781579 784522